

Stephen Evans

Property Team Leader
Called: 1992
stephenevans@fivepaper.com

"Stephen is very knowledgeable in his practice area. In addition, he is very approachable and personable which are some of the great attributes of a great lawyer."

"Stephen is extremely experienced. He is always entirely thorough, well-prepared and can be relied upon to provide technically excellent advice and representation." Chambers & Partners 2026



PROFILE

Stephen specialises in Property Law, and is ranked as a Leading Junior in Social Housing for Chambers and Partners and Legal 500.

Stephen is Chambers' Practice Team Leader for the Property Division. He was appointed as a part-time judge of the First Tier Tribunal, Property Chamber in January 2019.

Stephen is expert in all manner of real property and landlord and tenant disputes whether; residential, commercial, public or private.

He has particular specialism in discrimination claims in landlord and tenant law, which started with his appearance in *Lewisham BC v Malcolm* at first instance, Court of Appeal and House of Lords.

As regards leasehold work, Stephen is expert in all manner of FTT applications, whether enfranchisement, rents, service charges, financial penalties, rent repayment orders, breach of lease, lease renewals; indeed any of the 147 or so jurisdictions in the Property Chamber (Residential Property).

He is also well-known for acting in complex dilapidations litigation.

In Administrative and Public Law cases, Stephen acts predominantly for local authorities in challenges under Parts VI and VII of the Housing Act 1996. He is also frequently instructed where complex public law defences are raised within possession proceedings.

EXPERTISE

Property Litigation

Recent work includes

- Advising a large social housing provider in relation to a test claim alleging breach of s.1 of the Defective Premises Act 1972, breach of covenant, and nuisance, regarding latent heating installation defects suffered by a leaseholder a large housing development.
- Obtaining dispensation in relation to s.20 consultation in the FTT for the landlord against 201 leaseholders in a development which required fire alarm systems to replace waking watches. The FTT found Stephen's arguments "compelling": see LON/00AJ/LDC/2020/0032.
- Advising on alleged professional negligence in the drafting of a shared ownership lease.
- Representing an owner-occupier at the mediation of a complex rights of way dispute in Cornwall.
- Advising a Council on an owner's application for compensation to the Upper Tribunal following a Compulsory Purchase Order.
- Drafting 40 defences, and attending mediation to settle a claim for disrepair by tenants/lessees in 4 tower blocks against their landlord.

Reported cases

IAM Group Plc v Chowdrey [2012] EWCA Civ 505

Adverse possession

An "extremely well presented" and "skilfully advanced" appeal by Stephen. The issue before the Court was whether the adverse possessor reasonably believed the land belonged to him so as to satisfy the 'third condition' in Schedule 6 of the Land Registration Act 2002.

Church Commissioners for England v. Meya [2007] HLR 4, CA

Residential landlord and tenant

The case concerned the validity of a s.21 Notice under the Housing Act 1988. Ward LJ noted Stephen's "powerful argument" for symmetry between the common law and statute.

Social Housing

Recent work

- Representing a local authority in claim by a rough sleeper who alleged they had been granted a tenancy agreement and not a licence, when sent in error to self-contained accommodation during the "Everyone In" programme necessitated by the Coronavirus lockdown.
- Representing a social housing provider in an injunction claim against a leaseholder who was keeping combustible materials on their balcony in a building clad with HPL.
- Advising a local authority on a social housing fraud claim in relation to right to buy premises.
- Representing a local authority in its possession claim against the occupier of an almshouse who raises a defence of breach of constitution.

Reported cases

Ciftci v LB Haringey [2021] EWCA Civ 1772

Part VII Housing Act 1996; Intentional homelessness

Stephen successfully resisted an appeal brought by an appellant found to be intentionally homeless but who alleged ignorance of a relevant fact when acting in good faith. The Court of Appeal agreed with Stephen that there must be a trigger for further inquiries in circumstances where appellant alleged an active belief that their prospects of employment might be linked to future housing.

R (M) v Newham LBC [2020] EWHC 327 (Admin)
Part VII Housing Act 1996; Suitability of accommodation
Stephen represented the Council in this Part VII Housing Act 1996 judicial review concerning suitability of accommodation /mandatory relief.

The Queen (on the application of B) v London Borough of Redbridge [2019] EWHC 250 (Admin)
Part VII Housing Act 1996; Suitability of accommodation
Successful defence of a judicial review claim, the Court finding that an applicant has the right to only 1 review of suitability of accommodation.

Mohamoud v RBKC; Bushra Saleem v LB Wandsworth [2015] EWCA Civ 780
Part VII Housing Act 1996; Children Act 2004
Stephen successfully defended an appeal brought by a tenant of temporary housing, who sought to invoke the best interests of her children as a paramount consideration as a defence to a lawful notice to quit served following a finding of intentional homelessness.

Tompkins v LB Wandsworth [2015] EWCA Civ 846
Introductory tenancies
Stephen successfully defended an appeal brought by a tenant of temporary housing, who argued in the Court of Appeal that she had been granted an introductory tenancy in circumstances where she signed the wrong tenancy form.

R (B) v LB Camden (6.5.15), Nugee J
Part VI Housing Act 1996/Discrimination
Stephen successfully acted for the local authority on a judicial review claim of a decision that an applicant did not qualify for 1 bedroom accommodation, in circumstances where the applicant alleged that his use of a mobility scooter gave him a permanent need for medical equipment for use at home.

Lane v RB Kensington & Chelsea [2013] All ER (D) 233 (Apr), QBD
Equality Act/Article 8 ECHR
Stephen acted for the Council in a High Court appeal against the refusal of an injunction sought by an applicant with severe OCD.

London Borough of Lewisham v Malcolm [2008] AC 1399, HL
Housing/Disability Discrimination
Stephen was instructed as junior Counsel for the successful local authority in this important House of Lords decision, which concerned the challenge by a disabled tenant to his landlord's unqualified right to possession on the grounds of disability. The decision has had far-reaching implications, impacting on the fields of employment, education, and goods/services law.

R (Bibi) v. Camden LBC [2005] HLR 1
Part VI Housing Act 1996
Stephen successfully challenged the decision of the local authority not to provide 3 bedroomed accommodation in circumstances where a joint residence order had been made.

Discrimination claims

Recent work

- Representing a co-operative in possession proceedings defended on grounds including disability discrimination under s.15 of the Equality Act 2020.
- Advising a social housing provider in relation to potential age discrimination in housing adverts.

Reported cases

R (B) v LB Camden (6.5.15), Nugee J
Part VI Housing Act 1996/Discrimination
Stephen successfully acted for the local authority on a judicial review claim of a decision that an applicant did

not qualify for 1 bedroom accommodation, in circumstances where the applicant alleged that his use of a mobility scooter gave him a permanent need for medical equipment for use at home.

Lane v RB Kensington & Chelsea [2013] All ER (D) 233 (Apr), QBD
Equality Act/Article 8 ECHR

Stephen acted for the Council in a High Court appeal against the refusal of an injunction sought by an applicant with severe OCD.

London Borough of Lewisham v Malcolm [2008] AC 1399, HL
Housing/Disability Discrimination

Stephen was instructed as junior Counsel for the successful local authority in this important House of Lords decision, which concerned the challenge by a disabled tenant to his landlord's unqualified right to possession on the grounds of disability. The decision has had far-reaching implications, impacting on the fields of employment, education, and goods/services law.

Administrative and Public Law

Judicial review of local government decisions, including in relation to council tax.

Recent work

- Advising a London Council on a local lettings policy affecting occupants of temporary accommodation in a proposed large redevelopment scheme.
- Drafting grounds of appeal against a county court judgment that the local authority had erred in finding within its review decision that a disabled applicant was intentionally homeless on the grounds of non-payment of rent.

Reported cases

Norton v LB Haringey [2025] EWCA Civ 746

Stephen successfully resisted an appeal brought on the ground that a HNA/PHP under s.189A Housing Act 1996 is a condition precedent to a decision on suitability.

R(Ahamed) v LB Haringey [2023] EWCA Civ 975

Stephen successfully defended the Local Authority's decision to discharge duty under s.189B of the Housing Act 1996 and make a finding of not homeless, when it facilitated accommodation in a hostel under licence for an applicant whose NASS accommodation had ended

Ciftci v LB Haringey [2021] EWCA Civ 1772

Part VII Housing Act 1996; Intentional homelessness

Stephen successfully resisted an appeal brought by an appellant found to be intentionally homeless but who alleged ignorance of a relevant fact when acting in good faith. The Court of Appeal agreed with Stephen that there must be a trigger for further inquiries in circumstances where appellant alleged an active belief that their prospects of employment might be linked to future housing.

R (M) v Newham LBC [2020] EWHC 327 (Admin)

Part VII Housing Act 1996; Suitability of accommodation

Stephen represented the Council in this Part VII Housing Act 1996 judicial review concerning suitability of accommodation /mandatory relief.

The Queen (on the application of B) v London Borough of Redbridge [2019] EWHC 250 (Admin)

Part VII Housing Act 1996; Suitability of accommodation

Successful defence of a judicial review claim, the Court finding that an applicant has the right to only 1 review of suitability of accommodation.

R (Bibi) v. Camden LBC [2005] HLR 1

Part VI Housing Act 1996

Stephen successfully challenged the decision of the local authority not to provide 3 bedroomed

accommodation in circumstances where a joint residence order had been made.

FURTHER INFO

Directory Quotes



“Stephen is very knowledgeable in his practice area. In addition, he is very approachable and personable which are some of the great attributes of a great lawyer.”

“Stephen is extremely experienced. He is always entirely thorough, well-prepared and can be relied upon to provide technically excellent advice and representation.”

Chambers & Partners 2026

“Stephen Evans takes a very robust approach.”

“He is always willing to go the extra mile to assist, whether that be turning round work on a tight deadline or robustly arguing the lay client’s position.”

Chambers & Partners 2025

“Stephen Evans has provided advice and advocacy on high-profile matters.”

“Stephen Evans is excellent.”

Chambers & Partners 2024

“Extremely clever and forceful in court.”

Chambers & Partners 2023

“He is precise, methodical and determined.” “We always receive excellent advice, paperwork and support.”

Chambers & Partners 2021

“He always gives excellent advice on tricky housing matters and gets straight to the issues.” “He is incredibly approachable and hell bent on getting the best results. He will fight fiercely for the client.”

Chambers & Partners 2020

“An excellent and persuasive advocate whose preparation is incredibly thorough. He can be relied upon to provide practical and commercial advice, and also to fight the client’s case as robustly as possible when required.”

Chambers & Partners 2019

“He has a fantastic legal brain. He will often find discrete points or angles in a case that may have passed others by.” “His advocacy is excellent and he’s particularly formidable in disrepair claims.”

Chambers & Partners 2018

“Sound practical advice which comes with a thorough knowledge of the law.” His knowledge of court procedures is second to none.”

Chambers & Partners 2017

"He brings common sense to knotty problems." "He can make the most complicated case seem like a first instance possession hearing."

Chambers & Partners 2016

Ranked Tier 2 for Social Housing in **The Legal 500 UK 2026**

Ranked Tier 2 for Social Housing in **The Legal 500 UK 2025**

"He is a force to be reckoned with."

The Legal 500 UK 2024

"He has notable expertise in the disability discrimination/equality aspects of housing, dilapidations claims and leasehold service charge disputes, among other matters."

The Legal 500 UK 2021

"Known for advising local authority and registered provider landlords on housing matters, including dilapidations claims and cases involving disability discrimination/Equality Act issues."

The Legal 500 UK 2020

"A clear and knowledgeable advocate with expertise in possession claims."

The Legal 500 UK 2019

"An excellent advocate, who is very knowledgeable on the law."

The Legal 500 UK 2017

"His robust approach creates successful results."

The Legal 500 UK 2016

Qualifications

Christ's College, Cambridge – MA (Law);
Middle Temple.

Articles and Publications

Articles on the Equality Act entitled "Complete renovation or papering over the cracks?"

"The turning of the tide?" (Property Law Journal).

Co-author of legal chapter to RICS book 'Diagnosing Damp'.

Contributor to 2010 College of Law DVD on overage claims.

Professional memberships

Stephen is a member of SHLA

Privacy Notice

[Click here for Stephen's Privacy Notice](#)